4

6

C-1-02-479 5/7/2004

Page 10

- A. Yes. 1
- 2 Q. What did you know about DMS before seeking their
- assistance on Mr. Kearney's claim? 3
- 4 A. I did not know anything about them. They were 5 recommended by the reinsurer.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did you actually speak to the reinsurer about 7 the recommendation?
- A. I think they may have discussed that with us that they
- 9 would like for them to review that, and as I recall,
- 10 we may have furnished the file to them for review, but other than that, I knew nothing about them. 11
- 12 Q. How was it that Mr. Kearney's claim came up for 13 discussion with the reinsurer and the need to have
- more eyes take a look at the claim? 14
- 15 A. I believe the normal initiation in a situation like
- 16 that is that the reinsurer on a periodic basis,
- 17 sometimes once a year, would come through and they
- 18 would review - ask for and review certain claims -
- 19 Q. Okay.
- A. some criteria that they may have as a reinsurer. 20
- 21 They would ask for the files and review those, make
- 22 recommendations and ask for our concurrence as to, you
- 23 know, whether this was acceptable.
- 24 Q. So they would perform somewhat of an audit function?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Page 12 Q. Okay. I haven't seen it, but maybe Mr. Ellis would be
 - 2 good enough to give me that later.
 - 3 So when Employers Reinsurance came to
 - Greensboro to take a look at some of the claim files,
 - 5 do you recall a focused discussion with them about Mr.
 - Kearney's claim?
 - 7 A. I do not.
 - 8 Q. Okay. Is it your sense that that's what happened,
 - 9 though?
- 10 A. Yes, the fact that they were paying part of the charge 11 for DMS leads me to believe that they are the ones
- 12 that recommended it for review.
- 13 Q. Did they have experienced claim type folks who
- 14 actually looked at the policy and the riders and the
- 15 information in the file?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did they tell you when they audited Mr. Kearney's
 - claim file that you had made a mistake?
- 19 A. I do not recall that they did.
- 20 Q. Okay. There's no record of that in the claim file?
- 21

18

3

12

- 22 Q. No documents have been brought to your attention in
- 23 the past two days that suggest that?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Correct?

Page 11

- 1 Q. Was it the higher dollar claims that they would focus
- 2

7

- 3 A. Perhaps it might be that or the length of time in
- 4 which benefits are being paid or a lot of times just a
- 5 follow-up as to maybe additional information might be
- needed. They had their own criteria which they didn't 6
 - particularly discuss with us.
- 8 Q. So is it your memory then that Employers Reinsurance
- 9 came in and performed some general audit of some
- 10 selected claim files and determined that the Kearney
- 11 claim was one in which DMS's assistance may be of
- 12 value?
- 13 A. Yes. 14 O. Okav.
- A. And I recall that only because of the -- I believe the 15
- 16 correspondence, which my major correspondence with 17 Employers Re was sending them a copy of the bill from
- 18 DMS because they were footing part of the bill. That
- 19 was one of my major concerns as far as they were
- 20 concerned.
- 21 Q. Was DMS expensive?
- 22 A. I do not recall what they charged.
- 23 Q. Did you put those correspondence in the claim file or
- 24 does that not go in the claim file?
- 25 A. It should be in the claim file.

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- 2 Q. See, I always ask negative questions. So then
 - ultimately you referred not just Mr. Kearney's claim
- 4 but two other claims to DMS in 1997?
- A. I do not recall specifically any other references.
- 6 Q. Mr. Shelton, I'm going to mark an exhibit which is the
- 7 principal correspondence in the claim file that
- 8 appears to have been directed to you or authored by
- 9 you and it's maybe 30 or 40 pages. I'd like for you
- 10 to kind of glance through the whole thing before I
- 11 start going through those with you.
 - MS. FARABOW: What's the exhibit number?
- 13 MR. ROBERTS: Oh, I'm sorry. It will be 23.
- 14 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 23 was marked for
- 15 identification by Mr. Roberts.)
- 16 Q. (Indicating)
- 17 A. (Witness reviews document)
- 18 Q. Okay. Thank you for taking the time to review that.
 - I know it's a lot of material. But did you see that
- 19 20 I've tried to put into chronological order the
- 21 correspondence in the claims file that -
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- has your name on it somewhere or abouts?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you have any memory of any other correspondence you

Page 13

Page 24

Page 25

1	Pя	oe.	2

- 1 Q. Right. And what you're saying is applicable is the
- 2 maximum benefit period that's applicable for total
- disability? What you're saying is, that's applicable
- 4 for residual disability, correct?
- 5 A. To age 65.
- Q. Well, it's lifetime if you get disabled prior to 45,correct?
- 8 A. It appears so.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that's the definition of maximum benefit
- period you need to use for residual disability,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. It appears that way.
- 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk about another example. The
- definition of monthly benefit, if you can find it in
- the policy on page 3.
- 16 A. I have it.
- 17 Q. Okay. It's the amount shown in the schedule or
- one-thirtieth, et cetera, for a partial month?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. It doesn't say anything about residual disability?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 O. Is there a definition for the monthly benefit for
- 23 residual disability anywhere? To answer that
- 24 question, you're looking at the rider. Doesn't the
- 25 rider state that it's the amount shown on the

- to the schedule.
- 2 A. Okay.

1

8

- 3 Q. How is the monthly benefit for residual disability
- 4 defined on the schedule?
- 5 A. It is not.
- 6 Q. Well, it's defined under total disability and so you
- 7 must use it to determine what residual disability
 - monthly benefit is, correct?
- 9 A. But there's also a calculation that has to be done.
- 10 Q. Correct, for residual disability monthly benefit?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I'm talking about the term -- the paragraph above that
- 13 for the defined term "Monthly Benefit." That's what
- we're talking about right now, okay? To determine
- 15 what that means in the context of residual disability,
- the rider says look at the schedule?
- 17 A. Yes.

20

- 18 Q. Okay. And the schedule doesn't say anything about
- monthly benefit residual disability; it only talks in
 - the context of total disability, right?
- 21 A. That's the way it appears, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. So I've just pointed out for you three
- 23 examples: elimination period, maximum benefit period,
- 24 and monthly benefit. Those are three pretty important
- 25 aspects to a disability policy, right?

Page 23

- 1 schedule?
- 2 MR. ELLIS: Let him answer one question at a time, and don't throw me the finger again.
- 4 MR. ROBERTS: What are you talking about?
- 5 A. Question again, please.
- 6 O. The residual disability rider you went from the
- 7 policy and I asked you where is the residual
- 8 disability definition for monthly benefit, and you
- 9 turned to residual disability rider and it says
- monthly benefit is the amount shown in the schedule,
- 11 right? Towards the bottom of the first column, the
- residual disability rider, there's a paragraph that
- says Residual Disability Monthly Benefit, do you see
- 14 that?
- 15 A. I'm looking at that.
- 16 Q. The sentence above that says "Monthly Benefit' is the
- 17 amount shown in the schedule as such." That's the
- 18 language of the rider. Can you turn to we need
- 19 then to turn to the schedule to determine what the
- 20 residual disability monthly benefit definition is,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. The monthly benefit is the amount shown in the
- 23 schedule as such.
- 24 Q. Okay. So we went from the policy to the rider and the
- 25 rider tells us to go to the schedule. Let's go back

1 A. Yes.

2

- Q. Okay. With those three examples, there is no
- 3 definition -- independent residual disability
- 4 definition for those three items; rather the policy,
- 5 the rider, and the schedule all require that you use
- 6 the total disability definition for those items.
- 7 right?
- 8 A. It refers to the schedule which has those items there.
- 9 Q. In the context of total disability only, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Why is the waiver of premium different just
- because the definition of waiver of premium says total
- disability, where is it that says elimination period,
- maximum benefit period, and monthly benefit all are
- 15 defined in terms of total disability but they apply to
- 16 residual disability -- where does it say that even
- though those three apply to residual disability,
- 18 waiver of premium doesn't?
- 19 A. The increase in benefits on benefit provisions
- 20 requires that you receive benefits for total
- 21 disability for twelve months -- no, I'm sorry.
- 22 Q. We're talking about --
- 23 A. I'm looking at something else, I'm sorry.
- 24 Q. premium waiver. Where is it that Mr. Kearney was
- 25 advised that those three examples we just talked about

Jefferson-Pilot Insurance Company vs. Christopher L. Kearney **Harold Shelton**

C-1-02-479 5/7/2004

Page 41

	Page 38		
1	or lined paper that's been	1	Q. Okay. You wrote it to the attention of John
2	A. What number is that?	2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. 2874, October 29, '97.	3	Q. Did you understand him to be an equity ow
4	A. Okay.	4	and head of the claims
5	Q. This is a letter you received or was sent to you the	5	A. Did not specifically know him. I'm sure I v

7 Do you understand him to have been a disability claim

end of October '97 from a gentleman named Todd Ditmar.

- 8 consultant at Disability Management Services?
- 9 A. Yes.

6

- 10 Q. Okay. Did you have some regular contact with him in the '97, '98, '99 time frame? 11
- 12 A. I believe I did.
- 13 Q. Okay. You had referred to him three separate files:
- 14 Mr. Kearney's file, Gregor Kohn's file, and Felia
- 15 Rampersad's file, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And why did you refer Mr. Kohn and Ms. Rampersad's
- 18 files to DMS for review?
- 19 A. I do not recall.
- 20 Q. You don't recall what the issues were related to those
- 21 files?
- 22 A. No, I do not.
- 23 Q. Is Ms. Rampersad located in Florida?
- A. I don't recall.
- 25 Q. Okay. Are those the two people's names who you

Page 40 n Anderson?

- wner of DMS
- was advised 6 by Employers Re to -- that's who I'd sent it to.
- 7 because I -- you know, I did not know any of these
- 8 folks at DMS.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you then subsequently have conversations 10 with Mr. Anderson about Mr. Kearney's claim?
- 11 A. I do not specifically remember any.
- 12 Q. Okay. 2886 dated September 12, '97, the third
- 13 paragraph, Todd Ditmar writes to you, "As Mr. Kearney
- 14 has refused Jefferson-Pilot's request for an
- 15 independent financial audit, we would request that you
- 16 forward a copy of Mr. Kearney's policy to us so that
- 17 we may have it reviewed by our legal counsel. It
- 18 would seem that an audit would be the only true way to
- 19 measure and objectify his reported loss of income." 20
 - Mr. Kearney communicated to you there was a specific reason why he did not desire for
- 22 Jefferson-Pilot or Jefferson-Pilot's agents to contact
- 23
- the people he worked for and tell them that he
- 24 suffered from chronic severe depression, didn't he? 25
 - A. I do not recall specifically, but I would think that

Page 39

21

3

- blacked out of 2892 or someone blacked out with 2892?
- 2 It's July 8, '97, if you want to figure it out that
- 3 way.

1

- 4 A. Well, it's been blacked out. I don't know whether I
- 5 did that or not.
- 6 Q. Did you refer more than three claims to DMS in that summer '97 time frame? 7
- 8 A. I do not recall.
- 9 Q. In the second paragraph you write, "These are cases
- 10 that you are going to investigate for us to see what
- 11 can be done either to settle these in an equitable 12
- manner to both the reinsurer and to Jefferson-Pilot or
- 13 to give us further advice on where to proceed."
- 14 Did you ever suggest to DMS that they might 15 want to think about settling or resolving them in an 16 equitable manner to the policyholder?
- A. Well, I think this doesn't say that per se, but the 17
- 18 intent would always be something that the insured
- 19 is - the policyholder is agreeable to and is
- 20 equitable to everyone involved.
- Q. Did you have phone conversations with Mr. Anderson? 21
- 22 This letter that you wrote on July 8, '97, is the
- 23 first letter of any communication you had with DMS, I
- 24 assume, correct?
- 25 A. Apparently so.

- 1 would be a logical request.
 - 2 Q. Okay. And then Mr. Ditmar, he's someone you did have
 - frequent communications with in the '97 --
 - 4 A. Apparently he was handling this particular case.
 - 5 Q. He worked for Mr. Anderson?
 - 6 A. Apparently.
 - 7 Q. Okay. He requested that you send him a copy of the
 - 8 policy so their lawyers could take a look at it to
 - 9 determine what the rights were, right?
 - 10
 - 11 Q. Okay. And then the next page dated September 18,
 - 1997, document 2880, you sent him the policy, right?
 - A. Yes. 13

12

- Q. And if he did what he said he was going to do, he was 14
- 15 going to have his lawyers at DMS who this is what they
- do, administer claims, determine what the rights are 16
- 17 in the policy, right?
- 18
- 19 Q. And Mr. Kearney's payments didn't change; this alleged
- 20 error wasn't disclosed or discovered for four more 21 years, right?
- 22 A. Apparently.
- 23 MR. ELLIS: If can we take a break so I can 24 check out.
 - MR. ROBERTS: Can we proceed while you're

25

5/7/2004

	Page 50	Page 5:
1	day?	1 DMS got involved to do that? You were performing
2	A. Very possibly. If everything is in order, it's just a	2 other functions?
3	matter of the examiner reviewing that and approving	3 A. Yes.
4	the payment and it could be within a day or two.	4 Q. Okay. Was there any kind of written agreement that
5	Q. Do you date-stamp the receipt of the claim form or the	5 set forth
6	supplemental statement?	6 A. I think the I would think that the agreement was
7	A. Yes.	7 probably with Employers Re.
8	Q. They're all date-stamped?	8 Q. Between DMS and Employers Re?
9	A. Yes.	9 A. Yes. That would just be my guess, because I don't
10	MR. ELLIS: Just for your information,	10 remember any contract that we had with them. They
11	Counsel, they date-stamp on the reverse side, which is	just asked us to send these forms to them.
12	why none of the copies of mine have them. They	12 MR. ROBERTS: I believe that's been requested
13	date-stamp their claims material on the reverse side.	in the 34 discovery. Bill, is it going to be
14	MR. ROBERTS: Were those produced?	14 produced?
15	MR. ELLIS: Apparently it was never copied	MR. ELLIS: I'm not aware of any.
16	for either my copy of the claim file or yours. There	MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Well, will you see if it
17	were only one-sided copies and -	17 exists or not?
18	MR. ROBERTS: Can something be undertaken to	18 MR. ELLIS: Sure.
19	remedy that?	19 Q. Did anyone ever tell you there was a written agreement
20	MR. ELLIS: I can get the date-stamping for	20 between
21	them if you like.	21 A. No. I just know that DMS billed us directly and I
22	MR. ROBERTS: Yes.	22 would send a copy over to Employers Re to get their
23	Q. March 23, '98, which is a letter numbered 2956?	23 part of the charge.
24	A. Okay.	24 Q. There was no reference to a contract in the invoice or
25	Q. Now you're getting copies of letters that Mr. Kearney	25 anything?
	D #1	D
	Page 51 is dialoguing directly with DMS?	Page 53
1 2	is dialoguing directly with DMS?	1 A. Not that I'm aware of.
1 2 3	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes.	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well.
2	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well.
3	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes.	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that?
2 3 4	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that?
2 3 4 5	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments?	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS.
2 3 4 5 6	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney?	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS.
2 3 4 5 6 7	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right.	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed.	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 – I think we talked about this earlier – his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot?	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 – I think we talked about this earlier – his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it.	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly,	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 – I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you?	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 – I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you? A. Yes.	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got 18 involved in the correspondence. Usually if it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you? A. Yes. Q. And Jefferson-Pilot was issuing the checks?	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got 18 involved in the correspondence. Usually if it's a 19 lifetime benefit, it would have been written on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you? A. Yes. Q. And Jefferson-Pilot was issuing the checks? A. That's correct. 	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got 18 involved in the correspondence. Usually if it's a 19 lifetime benefit, it would have been written on the 20 claim form at the top and you may have just referenced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you? A. Yes. Q. And Jefferson-Pilot was issuing the checks? A. That's correct. Q. But everything else was being taken care of by DMS?	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got 18 involved in the correspondence. Usually if it's a 19 lifetime benefit, it would have been written on the 20 claim form at the top and you may have just referenced 21 that. I'm not sure about that claim form, but it may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you? A. Yes. Q. And Jefferson-Pilot was issuing the checks? A. That's correct. Q. But everything else was being taken care of by DMS? A. Well, I wouldn't say everything else. I think you	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got 18 involved in the correspondence. Usually if it's a 19 lifetime benefit, it would have been written on the 20 claim form at the top and you may have just referenced 21 that. I'm not sure about that claim form, but it may have indicated to age 65 and that's maybe where I got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you? A. Yes. Q. And Jefferson-Pilot was issuing the checks? A. That's correct. Q. But everything else was being taken care of by DMS? A. Well, I wouldn't say everything else. I think you know, they were doing a review. They were attempting 	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got 18 involved in the correspondence. Usually if it's a 19 lifetime benefit, it would have been written on the 20 claim form at the top and you may have just referenced 21 that. I'm not sure about that claim form, but it may 22 have indicated to age 65 and that's maybe where I got 23 that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is dialoguing directly with DMS? A. Yes. Q. Was there a switch in the relationship at that point where he was dialoguing directly with them and just keeping you in the loop of comments? A. Mr. Kearney? Q. Right. A. I suspect at that point DMS had contacted him about whatever they were needing and he was just writing to them and then just keeping me informed. Q. Who was running the show on Kearney's claim from summer of '97 through the end of '99? Was it principally DMS or was it principally Jefferson-Pilot? A. No, we continued to make the payments. All they were doing was reviewing it. Q. You were getting the supplemental forms monthly, Jefferson-Pilot meaning you? A. Yes. Q. And Jefferson-Pilot was issuing the checks? A. That's correct. Q. But everything else was being taken care of by DMS? A. Well, I wouldn't say everything else. I think you	1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. ROBERTS: I'd like those as well. 3 They're not in the claim file. 4 MR. ELLIS: What's that? 5 MR. ROBERTS: His transmittals to Employers 6 Re with the invoices of DMS. 7 Q. There's a fax transmittal that's turned sideways, 8 April 23, '98, from you to Ditmar. Now, if Mr. 9 Kearney's residual disability began prior to the age 10 of 45 — I think we talked about this earlier — his 11 maximum benefit period on the schedule is lifetime, 12 correct? 13 A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And in this fax you represent that it's to age 65. 15 Was the policy ambiguous on the point? 16 A. I don't think it was. Many times — you didn't go 17 back and review the contract every time you got 18 involved in the correspondence. Usually if it's a 19 lifetime benefit, it would have been written on the 20 claim form at the top and you may have just referenced 21 that. I'm not sure about that claim form, but it may have indicated to age 65 and that's maybe where I got

Jefferson-Pilot Insurance Company vs. Christopher L. Kearney

	Harold	She	elton	5/7/200
	Page 86			Page 88
1	Q. Is JL Roberson qualified to examine these policies, in	1	disability rider and tell me if you see an e	
2	your judgment?	2		
3	A. Yes.	3	A. I don't see it.	
4	Q. Does he look at every single claim?	4		rmits residual
5	A. No.	5		
6	Q. So if he looked at Kearney's claim, he would have done	6	•	
7	it affirmatively to examine something, right?	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8	A. Based on – well, based on the amount of benefits.	8		excluded in
9	Q. Okay. Mr. Kearney's claim was a lot, so he was	9		
10	getting more attention than someone getting \$100 a	10		
11	month, \$1,000 a month?	11	<u>-</u>	
12	A. Well, it had to be approved by Mr. Roberson.	12		
13	Q. Okay. But he actually wrote letters to Mr. Kearney,	13		
14	too, are you mindful of that?	14		nick if ite
15	A. I'm not sure particularly what he did.	15		nek ii its
16	Q. Do you suspect that he wrote all the claimants that	16	5	
17	filed disability claims, Vice President of the	17	•	
18	company?	18		fr Shalton
19	A. No, he did not.	19		
20	Q. Mr. Ellis argued that reference in Exhibit 9, Bates	20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	imitations
21	0962, where it says, "We are pay TD benefits, COLA	21	Free Control of the C	
22	applies." Do you know who wrote that?	22	- · · ·	
23	A. No, I don't.	23	The state of the s	
24	Q. Do you know when it was written?	24	•	d by come
25	A. No.	25	• • •	d by some
			wai incident:	
	Page 87			Page 89
1	Q. Okay. Do you know what the reference to "We are pay	1		
2	TD benefits" - I mean, if someone is getting if	2	Q. Do you pay residual disability benefits for	r war-caused
3	someone's loss in residual disability exceeds	3		
4	75 percent, they get the total disability benefit,	4		
5	right?	5	•	
6	A. They get the total benefit.	6	A. I would say that we would not.	
7	Q. Thank you.	7	Q. Okay. Where does it say that in the residu	ual
8	A. Disability total disability benefit.	8	disability rider? Where is the specific refer	rence to
9	Q. Thank you. Let's talk about a couple of other	9	that, if that's where we're going to draw the	: line?
10	matters. Now, Mr. Ellis says that it doesn't matter	10		
11	that you use elimination period total disability	11		
12	definition for residual, it doesn't matter that you	12	disability rider?	
13	use maximum benefit period total disability definition	113	A I don't see it in this rider	

13 use maximum benefit period total disability definition 14 for residual, it doesn't matter that you use monthly 15 benefit total disability definition for residual. You 16 don't use it for waiver of premium. Well, let's take 17 another look at the policy. There's other issues in

the policy --MR. ELLIS: I object to the speech, but -

20 Q. - that aren't referenced in the residual disability 21 rider that must apply. Do you pay residual disability

22 benefits for self-inflicted wounds, intentionally

23 self-inflicted wounds?

18

19

24 A. Right off I don't know.

Q. Okay. Well, why don't you take a look at the residual

- A. I don't see it in this rider.
- 14 Q. How about normal pregnancy or resulting childbirth
- 15 that's excluded in the policy? If there's a residual
- disability caused by pregnancy or childbirth, are 16
- 17
 - residual disability benefits payable?
- A. I'm sorry, repeat that question, please. 18
- Q. Are residual disabilities caused by pregnancy or 19
- 20 childbirth payable? I know that they're excluded
- 21 under total disability, but do you pay them if they
- 22 result in residual disability?
- 23 A. I'm not sure.
- 24 Q. The residual disability rider doesn't say either way,
- 25 correct?

23 (Pages 86 to 89)